UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,314	04/19/2001	Kevin Kawakita		9712
KEVIN KAWA	7590 08/29/2007 AKITA	EXAMINER		
5812 TEMPLE CITY BL. #100			DERAKSHANI, PHILIPPE	
TEMPLE CITY, CA 91780			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		So
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/837,314	KAWAKITA, KEVIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI	3754
The MAILING DATE of this communication		the state of the s
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
	 his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	. ,	
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without the application of the above claim(s) is/are without the application of the above claim(s) is/are without the application of the above claim(s) is/are perioding in the application of the applicat		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/	(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		. / \ \ \ / \
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applica	ation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not recei-	ved.
	•	
Attachment(s)	_	
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ∑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/05, 6/25/04, 4/19/2001.	6) 🔲 Other:	

Art Unit: 3754

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because figure 5 is confusing because they show two false bottoms when there is only one. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re* 

Application/Control Number: 09/837,314

Art Unit: 3754

Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-56 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of copending Application No. 11/451,547. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the instant application are merely written in broader terms than the claims in the parent.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 8-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morali et al 5,996,850 in view of Rohr 5,005,737 and Wolcott 2,281,651.

Morali et al show a bottle 2, real bottom 6, nozzle 10 and false bottom 32. Moralli et al lack the nozzle having a flip on cap. Rohr shows a nozzle having a flip cap 92. It would have

Art Unit: 3754

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have substituted the Morali et al cap with a flip on cap as taught by Rohr as an alternative means to cap a nozzle.

Moralli et al lack an air intake. Wolcott shows an air intake 13 to allow venting. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Moralli et al bottle with an air intake as taught by Wolcott to allow venting.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lowry, Fitten et al, Wolcox et al, Mears and Belokin, Jr et al are cited to show further examples of bottles having false bottoms.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI whose telephone number is 571-272-4925. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hour days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,314

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HILIPPE S DERAKSHAN

Page 5

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

Pd 8/10/07